



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/782,597	02/12/2001	Nathaniel M. McCully	07844-412001 / P376	9486	
21876 7	590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			FOULADI SEMNANI, FARANAK		
3300 DAIN RA	USCHER PLAZA				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2674		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/782,597		MCCULLY, NATHANIEL M.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Faranak Fouladi		2674				
The MAILING DATE of t	his communication app		heet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	·							
1) Responsive to communi	ication(s) filed on 26 Ju	ıly 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	<u> </u>							
3) Since this application is	in condition for allowar	nce except for form	al matters, pros	ecution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance wi	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-19 and 2</u>	<u>1-27</u> is/are pending in t	the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s	) is/are withdrav	vn from considerati	on.					
5) Claim(s) is/are al	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-19 and 2</u>								
7) Claim(s) is/are of	•	ltii						
8) Claim(s) are subj	ect to restriction and/or	r election requireme	ent.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is object	cted to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 February 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
					,			
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89	92)	4) 🔲 Int	erview Summary (F	PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/28/05; 06</u></li> </ol>			nuce or informal Par her:	ент мррисацоп (МТС	J-102J			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office			<del> </del>	<del> </del>				

Art Unit: 2674

Ĺ

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

 This action is responsive to communications: application, filed on 02/12/01 and IDS filed on 01/09/04; IDS filed on 06/17/04; Amendment filed 07/26/04; and IDS filed on 01/28/05.

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-27 are pending in the case, with claims 1, 14 and 27 being independent.
- 3. Claims 7 and 20 have been cancelled.
- 4. The present title of the application is "METHOD FOR CALCULATING CJK EMBOXES IN FONTS" (as originally filed).
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

♦ The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
  - The subject matters "<u>associating a reference character</u> with each of the plurality of characters" and "<u>using the associated reference</u>
     <u>character</u> to determine a coordination point for each distinct embox"

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/782,597

Art Unit: 2674

are not properly described in the specification. None of the figures illustrate this subject matter. Page 2 line 25-26 and page 4 line 9-12 of the specification describe comparison and not associating a reference character with each character, but even the aforementioned comparison is not described in the detailed description (page 8 line 14-page 9 line 20).

• The subject matter "aligning each distinct embox with a coordination line using the determined coordination point for each of the plurality of characters to typeset the plurality of characters on the text line" not properly described in the specification. None of the figures illustrate this subject matter. Page 2 line 28-29, page 4 line 11-12 and page 9 line 13-15 of the specification describe coordinating each character's coordination point with a coordination line.

Also, why coordination point for each of the plurality of characters is used to align each distinct embox with the coordination line? Why the determined coordination point for each distinct embox is not used to align each distinct embox with the coordination line?

# ♦ The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2674

7. Claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are:

- a. Regarding claims 1, 14 and 27:
  - "the vertical and horizontal size of each embox being said
    determined character dimensions" is an essential element for
    connecting the step of "determining dimensions of each of a
    plurality of characters to be typeset on a text line " and "associating
    a distinct embox with each of the plurality of characters".
  - "same dimensions" is an essential element omitted from
     "preselected reference characters all being characters from one font".

#### b. Regarding claims 8 and 21:

"setting a line height for the text line to be the height of said largest character" and "coordinating the plurality of characters' individually determined reference points (coordination points) with the reference line (coordination line) for the text line, after which the characters are centrally positioned with regard to line height" is omitted.

Art Unit: 2674

8. Amended claim 9 recites the limitation "the glyph bounding box" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

9. Amended claim 22 recites the limitation "the glyph bounding box" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Objections

- 10. Claim 1, 2 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. In line 9 of claim 1 change "all being characters from one font" to "all being characters from same font".
  - b. In line 4-5 of claim 2 and 15 "include a reference character <u>at each</u> <u>distinct point dimension</u>" is not correct. Please consider revising it.
     Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2674

12. Claims 1-6,8-19 and 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Masahiko Muramatsu Japanese publication 06-020026 (Muramatsu).

13. Regarding independent claim 1, "A method for typesetting a text line, comprising:

determining dimensions of each of a plurality of characters to be typeset
on a text line (Muramatsu discloses in paragraph 0017 and in Fig. 2 metrics
information corresponding to a character code which the examiner interpret as
dimensions of that characters);

associating a distinct embox with each of the plurality of characters (Muramatsu discloses in Figs. 5a and 5b and in paragraph 0032 an embox (Escapement W) with each characters),

associating a reference character with each of the plurality of characters, each associated reference character being one of one or more preselected reference characters, the one or more preselected reference characters all being characters from one font (Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 0012 and 0049 and also in claim 2)

using the associated reference character to determine a coordination point for each distinct embox and thereby determine a coordination point for each of the plurality of characters (Muramatsu discloses in paragraph 0033-0038 and in paragraphs 0089-0138 and Figs. 10-17 determining (X0, Y0) as coordination point for Escapement W (embox)); and

Art Unit: 2674

aligning each distinct embox with a coordination line using the determined coordination point for each of the plurality of characters to typeset the plurality of characters on the text line (Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 0036 and 0037).

Page 7

- 14. Regarding dependent claim 2, "the method of claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of characters has a point dimension and the one or more preselected reference characters include a reference character at each distinct point dimension found among the plurality of characters." Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 0017, 0102 and 0104.
- 15. Regarding dependent claim 3, "the method of claim 2, wherein the one or more preselected reference characters are each a CJK font character." Muramatsu discloses in paragraph 0102.
  Muramatsu discloses reading a character pattern from a font file by a JIS code,

and, thus, a font to be treated is a Japanese font, which is one of the CJK font.

16. Regarding dependent claim 4, "the method of claim 1, wherein the one or more preselected reference characters are each a European-language en uppercase letter having a cap height." Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 0031 and 0040 and in Fig. 3, for example, "H" en uppercase having a cap height "LN2".

Art Unit: 2674

17. Regarding dependent claim 5, "the method of claim 4, wherein the one or more preselected reference characters are each an en H or an en X." Muramatsu discloses in paragraph 0040.

18. Regarding dependent claim 6, "the method of claim 1, wherein determining the coordination point for each of the plurality of characters comprises:

determining a glyph bounding box for the one or more preselected reference characters;

centrally positioning the glyph bounding box in each distinct embox; and determining a point on the glyph bounding box as the coordination point of each distinct embox." Muramatsu disclose in paragraph 0033.

19. Regarding dependent claim 8, "the method of claim 1, further comprising: finding a largest character having a largest point dimension among said plurality of characters;

setting a line height to be a height of said largest character; and aligning the plurality of characters with a coordination line for the largest character." Muramatsu disclose in paragraphs 0038-0053.

20. Regarding dependent claim 9, "the method of claim 1, wherein determining the coordination point for each of the plurality of characters comprises:

determining the glyph bounding box for the one or more preselected reference characters;

Art Unit: 2674

determining an average value for top, bottom, left, and right differences between said embox and said bounding box;

determining an ideographic character face box located inside and separated from said embox edges by the average value; and

determining a point on the ideographic character face box as the coordination point." Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 33-37 determining the center of gravity from the bounding box and coordinating it with the center of the embox and by doing this the ideographic character face box that is located inside and separated from the embox edges by the average value is determined.

- 21.Regarding dependent claim 10, "the method of claim 9, wherein N (N≥2) reference characters are present, and determining the average value comprises dividing the sum of the top, bottom, left, and right differences obtained for the N reference characters by 4N to determine said average value." Muramatsu disclose in paragraphs 33-37.
- 22. Regarding dependent claim 11, "the method of claim 1, wherein determining the coordination point for each of the plurality of characters comprises:

determining a glyph bounding box for the one or more preselected reference characters;

determining a first average value for the top and bottom difference between the embox and the bounding box;

determining a second average value for a left and right difference;

Art Unit: 2674

determining an ideographic character face box located inside and separated from said embox top and bottom edges by the first average value and the embox left and right edges by the second average value; and

determining a point on the ideographic character face box as the coordination point." Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 33-37 determining the center of gravity from the bounding box and coordinating it with the center of the embox and by doing this the ideographic character face box that is located inside and separated from the embox edges by the average value is determined. The first average value and the second average value disclosed by Muramatsu's reference are equal.

- 23. Regarding dependent claim 12, "the method of claim 9, wherein the one or more preselected reference characters include a glyph whose shape is substantially a square." Muramatsu disclose in Figs. 15 and 16.
- 24. Regarding dependent 13, "the method of claim 12, wherein the one or more preselected reference characters include the Japanese ideographs "utsu" and "naga."" Although Muramatsu does not explicitly disclose having at least one reference character as the Japanese ideographs "utsu" and "naga." but it discloses that the JIS coded characters are used. JIS coded characters include both "utsu" and "naga".

Page 11

Application/Control Number: 09/782,597

have been used since 1980s.

Art Unit: 2674

25. Claims 14-19 and 21-26 recite a computer program product, stored on a machine-readable medium storing instructions operable to cause a programmable processor for executing the method of claims 1-6 and 8-13.

Having a medium configured to store or transport computer readable code in a computer system is inherent. For example compact disc has been included and used in the computer systems since 1990s or magnetic data storage devices

26. Claim 27 recites the system for performing the method of claims 1-6; therefore it is similar in scope to claim 1 and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

# Response to Arguments

- 27. Applicant's arguments, see page 13 "Section 112, First Paragraph Rejections", filed 07/26/04, with respect to claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-27 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 U.S.C §112, first paragraph rejection of claims 1-6, 8-19, 21-27 has been withdrawn.
- 28. Applicant's arguments see page 14 lines 6- page 15 line 17, filed 07/26/04, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant states that paragraphs 89 and 138 as well as Figures 10-17 of Muramatsu do not disclose applicant's aligning step. Examiner disagrees.

Art Unit: 2674

Muramatsu discloses in paragraph 89 position a character at a specified position in the text line by coordinating the character's coordination point with the coordination line in the text line by calculating the movement magnitude of the character's coordination point in the direction of X and Y and moving it. Applicant discloses the same in page 9 line 6-20.

29. Applicant's arguments see page 16 paragraphs 1-3, with respect to claim 6 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument in the last 3 lines of the second paragraph reads as follows:

"Thus the center-of-gravity point is a coordination point for a character to be aligned inside Escapement W. However Muramatsu does not use the coordination pint to align a plurality of distinct emboxes along a coordination line as required by claim 6."

Examiner disagrees. Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 35-37 determining the coordination point for a character to be aligned with the coordination line. Muramatsu discloses positioning the reference character's bounding box centrally inside the embox (escapement box) by determining and using the center of gravity of the bounding box, it discloses that the base of the bounding box (lower edge) is the zero point (coordination point) and therefore the character can be positioned in the text line by coordinating the coordination point with the coordination line. The coordination point of the character is the same as the coordination point of the character's embox.

30. Applicant's arguments see page 17 the first two paragraphs, with respect to claims 9-11 and 22-24 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 2674

Muramatsu discloses in paragraphs 33-37 determining the center of gravity from the bounding box and coordinating it with the center of the embox and by doing this the ideographic character face box that is located inside and separated from the embox edges by the average value is determined. The first average value and the second average value disclosed by Muramatsu's reference are equal.

#### Conclusion

31. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

32. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Faranak Fouladi** whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2674

**703-305-3223.** The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Edouard can be reach at (703) 308-6725.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Faranak Fouladi Patent Examiner Art Unit 2674

February 24, 2005